

## Germacrane-Type Sesquiterpenoids from the Roots of *Valeriana officinalis* var. *latifolia*

Peng-Cheng Wang,<sup>†,‡</sup> Xin-Hui Ran,<sup>†</sup> Rui Chen,<sup>†</sup> Huai-Rong Luo,<sup>†</sup> Yu-Qing Liu,<sup>†</sup> Jun Zhou,<sup>\*,†</sup> and You-Xing Zhao<sup>\*,†,§</sup>


State Key Laboratory of Phytochemistry and Plant Resources in West China, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650204, People's Republic of China, Institute of Tropical Bioscience and Biotechnology, Chinese Academy of Tropical Agriculture Sciences, Haikou 571101, People's Republic of China, and Graduate School of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100039, People's Republic of China

Received July 6, 2010

Eight new germacrane-type sesquiterpenoids, volvalerenals A–E (**2–6**) and volvalerenic acids A–C (**7–9**), along with four known compounds, were isolated from a chloroform extract of the roots of *Valeriana officinalis* var. *latifolia*. The structures and relative configurations of **2–9** were elucidated on the basis of spectroscopic data interpretation. The effects of all compounds isolated on acetylcholinesterase were evaluated.

Valerian (Valerianaceae) is a perennial herb native to Europe, Asia, and North America and has been used widely as a mild sedative and sleep aid for centuries.<sup>1–3</sup> The species of *Valeriana* used most in Western preparations is *Valeriana officinalis* L., and the rhizomes and roots of this plant exhibit anxiolytic, antidepressant, antispasmodic, sedative, and anti-HIV activities.<sup>4–8</sup> Previous phytochemical investigations of *V. officinalis* have resulted in the identification of iridoids, sesquiterpenoids, flavone glycosides, lignans, and alkaloids.<sup>9–13</sup> *V. officinalis* is still the subject of considerable research aimed at establishing the chemical basis for its observed biological activities.<sup>14</sup>

*Valeriana officinalis* var. *latifolia* has been used as an alternative species for *V. officinalis* in mainland China. Our previous studies on the chemical constituents of the roots of *V. officinalis* have led to the isolation of several iridoids and sesquiterpenoids.<sup>15</sup> In continuing studies on the chemical constituents of the genus *Valeriana*, the chemical constituents in *V. officinalis* var. *latifolia* have been investigated. As a result, 12 germacrane-type sesquiterpenoids, including eight new substances, volvalerenals A–E (**2–6**) and volvalerenic acids A–C (**7–9**), along with four known compounds, have been obtained. The known compounds were identified as madolin A (**1**),<sup>16</sup> isobicyclogermacrenal,<sup>17</sup> kissoone B,<sup>18</sup> and kissoone C<sup>18</sup> by comparison of their spectroscopic data with those reported in the literature. In this paper, the isolation and structural elucidation of these new sesquiterpenoids are described, as well as the inhibitory activity of the isolated sesquiterpenoids for acetylcholinesterase (AChE).



## Results and Discussion

Compound **2** was obtained as white, amorphous solid, which was assigned the molecular formula C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>4</sub> from its HREIMS (*m/z* 292.1666 [M]<sup>+</sup>, calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, 292.1675) and NMR data (Tables 1 and 2). The IR spectrum displayed the presence of carbonyl (1738 cm<sup>-1</sup>), α,β-unsaturated aldehyde (1686 cm<sup>-1</sup>), and double-bond (1627 cm<sup>-1</sup>) absorptions. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of compound **2** (Table 1) displayed three methyl singlets [ $\delta_{\text{H}}$  1.19 (3H, s, H-12), 1.24 (3H, s, H-13), 2.07 (3H, s, H-17)] and signals for an olefinic proton [ $\delta_{\text{H}}$  6.54 (1H, d, *J* = 9.5 Hz, H-5)], an oxygenated methine proton [ $\delta_{\text{H}}$  3.08 (1H, dd, *J* = 2.9, 11.3 Hz, H-1)], and an aldehydic proton [ $\delta_{\text{H}}$  9.40 (1H, s, H-14)]. Analysis of its <sup>13</sup>C NMR and DEPT spectra (Table 2) showed 17 carbon resonances, including three methyls, five methylenes (one oxygenated), three methines (one oxygenated), an oxygenated quaternary carbon, a trisubstituted double bond [ $\delta_{\text{C}}$  143.9 (s, C-4), 154.7 (d, C-5)], a carbonyl carbon [ $\delta_{\text{C}}$  170.8 (s, C-16)], and an aldehydic carbon [ $\delta_{\text{C}}$  193.3 (d, C-14)]. The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data of **2** were similar to those of madolin A (**1**),<sup>16</sup> a germacrane-type sesquiterpenoid, except for the additional signals at  $\delta_{\text{C}}$  170.8 (s, C-16), 20.8 (q, C-17), and 62.5 (t, C-15) and the absence of the methyl signal at  $\delta_{\text{C}}$  17.2 (C-15), indicating that the methyl at C-15 in madolin A is replaced by a –CH<sub>2</sub>OOCCH<sub>3</sub> moiety in **2**. This was in accordance with the HMBC correlations (Figure 1) from H-15 [ $\delta_{\text{H}}$  3.30 (1H, d, *J* = 12.1 Hz), 4.35 (1H, d, *J* = 12.1 Hz)] to C-9 ( $\delta_{\text{C}}$  34.0), C-10 ( $\delta_{\text{C}}$  60.2), and C-16. The other correlations in the HMBC and <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>1</sup>H COSY spectra as shown in Figure 1 also confirmed the connectivities in compound **2**.

The relative configurations at C-1, C-6, C-7, and C-10 in **2** were deduced by a ROESY NMR experiment (Figure 2) to be the same as those of madolin A, for which the relative configuration was confirmed by X-ray crystallographic analysis.<sup>16</sup> The α-orientations of H-1, H-6, and H-7 in **2** were established by the correlations of H-1/H-6, H-1/H-7, and H-6/H-7, and the β-orientation of C-15 was deduced by the correlations of H-7/H-8b (α-H), H-15b/H-8a (β-H), and H-15a/H-2a. The α-orientation of CH<sub>3</sub>-12 and the β-orientation of CH<sub>3</sub>-13 were assigned by the correlations of CH<sub>3</sub>-12/H-6 and H-5/CH<sub>3</sub>-13.<sup>17</sup> The geometry of the double bond between C-4 and C-5 was determined to be *E* by the ROESY correlation of H-14/H-5. Thus, the structure of compound **2** was assigned as shown, and this compound was named volvalerenal A.

Compound **3** was isolated as a white, amorphous solid, and its molecular formula was determined to be C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>3</sub> by HRESIMS (*m/z* 271.1306 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>, calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Na, 271.1310), requiring six degrees of unsaturation. Its IR spectrum indicated the presence of carbonyl (1718, 1667 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and double-bond (1626 cm<sup>-1</sup>) groups. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of compound **2** (Table 1) displayed resonances for two methyl groups [ $\delta_{\text{H}}$  1.18 (3H, s, H-12),

\* To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: +86-871-5223264. Fax: +86-871-5223261. E-mail: yxzhao@mail.kib.ac.cn.

<sup>†</sup> Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

<sup>‡</sup> Graduate School of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing.


<sup>§</sup> Institute of Tropical Bioscience and Biotechnology, Chinese Academy of Tropical Agriculture Sciences.

**Table 1.**  $^1\text{H}$  NMR Spectroscopic Data for Compounds **2–9** in  $\text{CDCl}_3$ 

position	<b>2</b> <sup>a</sup>	<b>3</b> <sup>b</sup>	<b>4</b> <sup>a</sup>	<b>5</b> <sup>b</sup>	<b>6</b> <sup>a</sup>	<b>7</b> <sup>b</sup>	<b>8</b> <sup>b</sup>	<b>9</b> <sup>b</sup>
1	3.08, dd (2.9, 11.3)	3.37, dd (3.2, 11.6)	2.92, dd (3.2, 11.2)	5.46, dd (5.2, 11.4)	5.27, dd (5.2, 9.6)	4.93, dd (5.2, 9.8)	5.20, dd (5.6, 11.0)	3.77, d (11.2)
2a	1.35, m ( $\beta$ -H)	1.62, m	1.25, m	1.72, m	1.98, m	2.12, m	2.12, m	1.76, m
2b	2.38, m ( $\alpha$ -H)	2.45, m	2.23, m	2.11, m	2.55, m	2.17, m	2.20, m	2.37, m
3a	2.39, m ( $\alpha$ -H)	2.43, m	2.36, td (3.2, 13.6)	2.24, m	1.99, m ( $\beta$ -H)	1.70, m	1.80, m	2.05, m
3b	2.79, m ( $\beta$ -H)	2.79, m	2.80, dt (3.2, 13.6)	2.33, m	2.77, m	2.95, dt (3.0, 12.5)	2.92, dt (4.0, 16.0)	2.70, m
5	6.54, d (9.5)	6.41, d (9.6)	6.48, d (9.6)	6.47, d (3.4)	6.36, d (10.2)	5.28, d (12.5)	5.43, d (12.4)	5.63, d (11.9)
6	1.62, m	1.66, m	1.89, t (9.6)	1.24, m	1.18, dd (4.1, 9.3)	2.55, dd (9.0, 12.5)	2.80, m	2.49, m
7	1.15, m	1.09, m	1.36, m	0.96, t (10.1)	0.57, m	0.92, td (12.0, 2.5)	1.14, m	0.94, m
8a	1.19, m ( $\beta$ -H)	1.57, m ( $\beta$ -H)	4.60, td (2.2, 11.2)	1.60, m ( $\beta$ -H)	1.02, 2H, m	1.25, m ( $\alpha$ -H)	4.82, m	1.45, m ( $\alpha$ -H)
8b	1.92, dd (5.2, 14.0) ( $\alpha$ -H)	1.98, m, ( $\alpha$ -H)		2.07, m, ( $\alpha$ -H)		2.03, m ( $\beta$ -H)		1.80, m ( $\beta$ -H)
9a	0.96, t (13.2), ( $\alpha$ -H)	0.97, m ( $\alpha$ -H)	1.37, m ( $\alpha$ -H)	4.22, dd (3.0, 12.0)	1.97, m	1.72, m ( $\beta$ -H)	1.85, m ( $\alpha$ -H)	2.10, m ( $\beta$ -H)
9b	2.40, m ( $\beta$ -H)	2.53, dd (4.0, 13.5),	2.28, m ( $\beta$ -H)		2.50, m	2.46, m ( $\alpha$ -H)	2.74, dd (5.0, 12.4) ( $\beta$ -H)	2.53, m ( $\alpha$ -H)
12	1.19, s	1.18, s	1.25, s	1.13, s	1.21, s	1.17, s	1.19, s	1.07, s
13	1.24, s	1.22, s	1.24, s	1.04, s	1.09, s	0.95, s	1.14, s	1.10, s
14	9.40, s	9.21, s	9.38, d (4.1)	9.48, s	9.31, s			
15a	3.30, d (12.1)	8.98, s	1.03, s	1.70, s	4.60, d (12.0)	1.42, s	1.47, s	4.87, s
15b	4.35, d (12.1)				4.62, d (12.0)			4.91, s
17	2.07, s		2.06, s		2.06, s		2.06, s	

<sup>a</sup> Recorded at 400 MHz. <sup>b</sup> Recorded at 500 MHz.**Table 2.**  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR Spectroscopic Data for Compounds **2–9** in  $\text{CDCl}_3$ 


position	<b>2</b> <sup>a</sup>	<b>3</b> <sup>b</sup>	<b>4</b> <sup>a</sup>	<b>5</b> <sup>a</sup>	<b>6</b> <sup>a</sup>	<b>7</b> <sup>b</sup>	<b>8</b> <sup>a</sup>	<b>9</b> <sup>a</sup>
1	62.5, CH	63.4, CH	62.2, CH	128.1, CH	133.5, CH	124.1, CH	127.2, CH	71.0, CH
2	27.6, CH <sub>2</sub>	26.3, CH <sub>2</sub>	27.3, CH <sub>2</sub>	29.6, CH <sub>2</sub>	26.7, CH <sub>2</sub>	27.2, CH <sub>2</sub>	26.2, CH <sub>2</sub>	31.7, CH <sub>2</sub>
3	20.3, CH <sub>2</sub>	19.9, CH <sub>2</sub>	20.4, CH <sub>2</sub>	22.0, CH <sub>2</sub>	24.3, CH <sub>2</sub>	36.9, CH <sub>2</sub>	42.4, CH <sub>2</sub>	33.7, CH <sub>2</sub>
4	143.9, qC	142.5, qC	144.5, qC	146.6, qC	140.3, qC	121.2, qC	122.9, qC	129.3, qC
5	154.7, CH	156.3, CH	151.7, CH	151.8, CH	157.8, CH	151.1, CH	148.5, CH	147.1, CH
6	28.3, CH	29.1, CH	28.8, CH	24.7, CH	35.0, CH	29.0, CH	29.8, CH	28.0, CH
7	38.0, CH	37.9, CH	40.2, CH	32.4, CH	37.3, CH	34.0, CH	35.7, CH	35.5, CH
8	21.5, CH <sub>2</sub>	21.8, CH <sub>2</sub>	69.8, CH	26.2, CH <sub>2</sub>	24.3, CH <sub>2</sub>	27.0, CH <sub>2</sub>	74.8, CH	21.9, CH <sub>2</sub>
9	34.0, CH <sub>2</sub>	32.2, CH <sub>2</sub>	46.6, CH <sub>2</sub>	68.3, CH	35.7, CH <sub>2</sub>	36.6, CH <sub>2</sub>	36.2, CH <sub>2</sub>	36.5, CH <sub>2</sub>
10	60.2, qC	62.1, qC	57.7, qC	134.8, qC	130.6, qC	141.3, qC	137.5, qC	150.1, qC
11	23.8, qC	24.1, qC	24.3, qC	18.8, qC	23.6, qC	23.3, qC	23.9, qC	23.0, qC
12	29.0, CH <sub>3</sub>	28.3, CH <sub>3</sub>	28.1, CH <sub>3</sub>	27.4, CH <sub>3</sub>	22.3, CH <sub>3</sub>	15.7, CH <sub>3</sub>	15.5, CH <sub>3</sub>	15.3, CH <sub>3</sub>
13	15.5, CH <sub>3</sub>	15.3, CH <sub>3</sub>	15.7, CH <sub>3</sub>	15.8, CH <sub>3</sub>	21.3, CH <sub>3</sub>	29.0, CH <sub>3</sub>	28.6, CH <sub>3</sub>	28.6, CH <sub>3</sub>
14	193.3, CH	193.5, CH	193.5, CH	195.3, CH	194.0, CH	174.5, qC	172.5, qC	170.3, qC
15	62.5, CH <sub>2</sub>	200.0, CH	17.9, CH <sub>3</sub>	16.4, CH <sub>3</sub>	61.3, CH <sub>2</sub>	20.2, CH <sub>3</sub>	19.8, CH <sub>3</sub>	111.7, CH <sub>2</sub>
16	170.8, qC		170.0, qC		171.1, qC		170.2, qC	
17	20.8, CH <sub>3</sub>		21.2, CH <sub>3</sub>		21.0, CH <sub>3</sub>		21.4, CH <sub>3</sub>	

<sup>a</sup> Recorded at 100 MHz. <sup>b</sup> Recorded at 125 MHz; multiplicities inferred from DEPT and HSQC experiments.**Figure 1.** Key HMBC (→) and  $^1\text{H}$ – $^1\text{H}$  COSY (–) correlations of compounds **2**, **4–6**, and **8**.

1.22 (3H, s, H-13)], an olefinic proton [ $\delta_{\text{H}}$  6.41 (1H, d,  $J$  = 9.6 Hz, H-5)], and two aldehydic protons [ $\delta_{\text{H}}$  9.21 (1H, s, H-14), 8.98 (1H, s, H-15)]. The  $^1\text{H}$  and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectroscopic data (Tables 1 and 2) of compound **3** were also similar to those of madolin A (**1**).<sup>16</sup> The key difference was that the methyl signal at C-15 ( $\delta_{\text{C}}$  17.2) in **1** was replaced by an aldehyde group at  $\delta_{\text{C}}$  200.0 (C-15) in **3**, which was confirmed by the HMBC correlations from H-15 to C-9 ( $\delta_{\text{C}}$  32.2) and C-10 ( $\delta_{\text{C}}$  62.1). The relative configurations at C-1, C-6, C-7, and C-10 in compound **3** were established as being the same as those in **2** by a ROESY experiment. Therefore, the structure of compound **3** (volvalerenal B) was established as shown.

Compound **4** was found to possess the same molecular formula,  $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{24}\text{O}_4$  ( $m/z$  315.1577 [ $\text{M} + \text{Na}$ ]<sup>+</sup>, calcd for  $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{24}\text{O}_4\text{Na}$ , 315.1572), as compound **2**. The  $^1\text{H}$  and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectroscopic data (Tables 1 and 2) of **4** were similar to those of **2**. The major

difference was the presence of an oxygenated methine signal at  $\delta_{\text{C}}$  69.8 (d, C-8) in **4** instead of the oxygenated methylene resonance at  $\delta_{\text{C}}$  62.5 (t, C-15) in **2**, which indicated that the acetate group connected to C-15 in **2** is attached to C-8 in **4**. The key HMBC correlations (Figure 1) from H-8 ( $\delta_{\text{H}}$  4.60) to C-16 ( $\delta_{\text{C}}$  170.0), C-7 ( $\delta_{\text{C}}$  40.2), and C-9 ( $\delta_{\text{C}}$  46.6) and the  $^1\text{H}$ – $^1\text{H}$  COSY cross-peaks (Figure 1) of H-7/H-8 and H-8/H-9 (a, b) also supported this assignment. The relative configurations at C-1, C-6, and C-7 in **4** were established as being the same as those of **2** by the ROESY correlations of H-1/H-6, H-1/H-7, and H-6/H-7. The  $\beta$ -orientations of H-8 and CH<sub>3</sub>-15 were established by the correlations of H-8/CH<sub>3</sub>-13 ( $\beta$ -orientation as in compound **2**) and CH<sub>3</sub>-15/H-8 in the ROESY spectrum (Figure 2). Thus, the structure of volvalerenal C (**4**) was determined as shown.



**Figure 2.** Key ROESY correlations of compounds **2**, **4–6**, and **8**.

Compound **5** gave a molecular formula of  $C_{15}H_{22}O_2$  by HRESIMS ( $m/z$  257.1513 [ $M + Na$ ] $^+$ , calcd for  $C_{15}H_{22}O_2Na$ , 257.1517), with five degrees of unsaturation. The  $^1H$  and  $^{13}C$  NMR spectra (Tables 1 and 2) of **5** were similar to those of kissoone B,<sup>18</sup> a compound that possesses the same molecular formula. The only differences found were the absence of the oxygenated methylene signals at  $\delta_C$  58.1 (t, C-15) and 34.3 (C-9) in kissoone B and the appearance of an oxygenated methine at  $\delta_C$  68.3 (d, C-9) and a methyl signal at  $\delta_C$  16.4 (s, C-15) in **5**, indicating that the hydroxy group at C-15 in kissoone B is linked to C-9 in compound **5**. This was confirmed by the HMBC correlations (Figure 1) from H-15 ( $\delta_H$  1.70) to C-1 ( $\delta_C$  128.1), C-10 ( $\delta_C$  134.8), and C-9, and the  $^1H$ – $^1H$  COSY cross-peak (Figure 1) of H-8 ( $\delta_H$  1.60, 2.07) with H-9 ( $\delta_H$  4.22). The other 2D NMR data (including HMBC and  $^1H$ – $^1H$  COSY) (Figure 1) also supported the same linkages and configurations of **5** as in kissoone B.<sup>18</sup> The relative configuration of **5** was assigned by a ROESY experiment (Figure 2) and molecular modeling, as well as by comparison of its NMR data with another related compound, isobicyclogermacrenal.<sup>17</sup> The chemical shifts of C-6, C-7, C-12, and C-13 in compounds with *syn* and *trans* orientations of H-6 and H-7 are variable.<sup>17</sup> H-6 and H-7 were assigned as *syn*- and  $\alpha$ -oriented from the correlation of H-6/H-7 and the similar NMR data [ $\delta_C$  24.7 (C-6), 32.4 (C-7), 15.8 (C-12), 27.4 (C-13)] to those of kissoone B and isobicyclogermacrenal.<sup>17,18</sup> The  $\alpha$ -orientation of  $CH_3$ -12 was deduced from the correlations of  $CH_3$ -12/H-6 and  $CH_3$ -12/H-7. The H-9 proton was determined to be  $\beta$ -oriented from the correlation of H-9/ $CH_3$ -13. Accordingly, the structure of compound **5** (volvalerenal D) was established as shown.

Compound **6** was assigned the molecular formula  $C_{17}H_{24}O_3$ , as established by HRESIMS ( $m/z$  299.1616 [ $M + Na$ ] $^+$ , calcd for  $C_{17}H_{24}O_3Na$ , 299.1623). Comparison of the  $^1H$  and  $^{13}C$  NMR data (Tables 1 and 2) of **6** with those of lepidozenal<sup>17</sup> showed that they possess a similar skeleton, except that the methyl group at  $\delta_C$  15.6 (C-15) in lepidozenal is replaced by a  $-CH_2OOCCH_3$  group [ $\delta_H$  4.60 (1H, d,  $J = 12.0$  Hz, H-15a), 4.62 (1H, d,  $J = 12.0$  Hz, H-15b), 2.06 (3H, s, H-17);  $\delta_C$  61.3 (t, C-15), 171.1 (s, C-16), 21.0 (q, C-17)] in **6**. This was confirmed by the HMBC correlations (Figure 1) from H-15 (a, b) to C-16, C-1 ( $\delta_C$  133.5), C-10 ( $\delta_C$  130.6), and C-9 ( $\delta_C$  35.7). The relative configuration of **6** was also assigned by a ROESY experiment and comparison to the NMR data of the model compound lepidozenal.<sup>17</sup> The  $\beta$ -orientation of H-6 and  $CH_3$ -13 and the  $\alpha$ -orientation of H-7 and  $CH_3$ -12 were established on the basis of the similar NMR data of **6** [ $\delta_C$  35.0 (C-6), 37.3 (C-7), 22.3 (C-12), 21.3 (C-13)] to those of lepidozenal.<sup>17</sup> This was also confirmed by the NOE correlations of H-6/ $CH_3$ -13, H-7/ $CH_3$ -12, and H-5/ $CH_3$ -12, as shown in Figure 2. The correlations of H-5/H-14 and H-2 (a, b)/H-15 (a, b) indicated  $\Delta^{4,5}$  and  $\Delta^{1,10}$  to be *E*-

and *Z*-configured, respectively. Therefore, the structure of compound **6** (volvalerenal E) was established as shown.

The molecular formula of compound **7** was determined as  $C_{15}H_{22}O_2$  by HRESIMS ( $m/z$  257.1512 [ $M + Na$ ] $^+$ , calcd  $C_{15}H_{22}O_2Na$ , 257.1517), with five degrees of unsaturation. The IR spectrum indicated the presence of an  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated carboxylic moiety ( $2500$ – $3500$ ,  $1666$   $cm^{-1}$ ) and double bonds ( $1601$   $cm^{-1}$ ). The  $^{13}C$  NMR and DEPT spectra showed 15 carbon signals, including three methyls, four methylenes, four methines, and four quaternary carbons. The NMR signals confirmed the presence of the  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated carboxylic moiety [ $\delta_C$  121.2 (C-4), 151.1 (C-5), 174.5 (C-14)] and also showed evidence for a dimethylcyclopropane ring [ $\delta_H$  2.55 (1H, dd,  $J = 9.2$  Hz, H-6), 0.92 (1H, m, H-7), 0.95 (3H, s, H-12), 1.17 (3H, s, H-13);  $\delta_C$  23.3 (s, C-11), 15.7 (q, C-12), 29.0 (q, C-13)]. On comparing the  $^1H$  and  $^{13}C$  NMR spectra of **7** (Tables 1 and 2) with those of madolin P,<sup>19</sup> it was evident that they have similar structures. The major differences observed were the upfield shift of C-4 ( $\delta_C$  121.2,  $-8.6$  ppm) and the downfield shifts of C-5 ( $\delta_C$  151.5,  $+4.5$  ppm), C-3 ( $\delta_C$  36.9,  $+10.3$  ppm), and C-14 ( $\delta_C$  174.5,  $+2.5$  ppm), which were inferred as being due to the opposite geometry of the C=C double bond between C-4 and C-5. The relative configuration of **7** was assigned by a ROESY experiment and its  $^1H$  NMR coupling constants. The coupling constant of 9.2 Hz and the NOE correlations between H-6 and H-7 suggested a *syn* configuration of the cyclopropane moiety,<sup>19</sup> with H-6 and H-7 on the same side and  $\beta$ -oriented. The NOE correlations of H-5/H-3a and H-2 (a, b)/H-15 indicated  $\Delta^{4,5}$  and  $\Delta^{1,10}$  to be *Z*- and *E*-configured, respectively, which was confirmed by a key correlation of H-1 with H-5. Therefore, the structure of compound **7** was established as shown, and this compound was named volvalerenic acid A.

Compound **8** was assigned the molecular formula  $C_{17}H_{24}O_4$  (HRESIMS  $m/z$  315.1572 [ $M + Na$ ] $^+$ , calcd for  $C_{17}H_{24}O_4Na$ , 315.1572), with six degrees of unsaturation. The 1D NMR spectroscopic data (Tables 1 and 2) of **8** were similar to those of **7**, except for an additional acetate group [ $\delta_C$  170.2 (s, C-16), 21.4 (q, C-17)] in compound **8**. The acetate group was determined to be located at C-8 by the HMBC correlations (Figure 1) from H-8 ( $\delta_H$  4.82) to C-16, C-11 ( $\delta_C$  23.9), C-7 ( $\delta_C$  35.7), and C-9 ( $\delta_C$  36.2), which was confirmed by the  $^1H$ – $^1H$  COSY correlations (Figure 1) of H-7/H-8 and H-8/H-9 (a, b). The NOE correlations (Figure 2) between H-6/H-7, H-6/ $CH_3$ -13, H-5/H-8, H-7/H-8, H-1/H-8, H-15/H-2b, H-1/H-5, and H-5/H-3a indicated that compound **8** has the same configuration and geometry as **7**, with the  $\beta$ -orientation of H-6, H-7, and H-8 and 4(5)-*Z*, 1(10)-*E* configurations of the double bonds. From the above evidence, the structure of **8** (volvalerenic acid B) was determined as shown.

Compound **9** gave a molecular formula of  $C_{15}H_{22}O_3$ , as deduced by HRESIMS ( $m/z$  273.1460 [ $M + Na$ ] $^+$ , calcd for  $C_{15}H_{22}O_3Na$ , 273.1466), indicating five degrees of unsaturation. Compound **9** could be assigned a similar structure to **7** by comparison of their  $^1H$  and  $^{13}C$  NMR spectra (Tables 1 and 2). The major differences observed were the absence of the trisubstituted C=C double bond between C-1 and C-10 present in **7** and the appearance of an exocyclic double bond between C-10 ( $\delta_C$  150.1) and C-15 ( $\delta_C$  111.7) and an additional oxygenated methine signal at  $\delta_C$  71.0 (C-1) in **9**. These were confirmed by the HMBC correlations from H-15 ( $\delta_H$  4.87, 4.91) to C-1, C-9 ( $\delta_C$  36.5), and C-10. On the basis of the ROESY correlations, the relative configuration of **9** was determined to be the same as that of **7**, with H-1 assigned as  $\alpha$ -oriented by the NOE correlations of H-7/H-9a ( $\beta$ -H) and H-1/H-9b ( $\alpha$ -H). The NOE correlations of H-3a/H-5 confirmed the *Z*-configuration of the double bond between C-4 and C-5. Thus, the structure of compound **9** (volvalerenic acid C) was assigned as shown.

The acetylcholinesterase (AChE) inhibitory activities of the 12 sesquiterpenoids isolated from *V. officinalis* var. *latifolia* were assayed using the Ellman method.<sup>20</sup> Compound **1** showed weak

inhibitory activity (25.9%) at a concentration of 100  $\mu\text{M}$ . Tacrine (0.33  $\mu\text{M}$ ) was used as the positive control (49.0% inhibition). The other compounds were inactive at 100  $\mu\text{M}$ .

## Experimental Section

**General Experimental Procedures.** Optical rotations were taken on a Horiba SEAP-300 polarimeter. UV spectra were obtained on a Hitachi UV 210A spectrophotometer. IR spectra were measured on a Bio-Rad FTS-135 spectrometer with KBr pellets. 1D and 2D NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AM-400 or DRX-500 NMR spectrometer (Karlsruhe, Germany). Mass spectra were obtained on a VG Auto Spec-3000 mass spectrometer (VG, Manchester, UK). Column chromatography was performed on silica gel (200–300 mesh; Qindao Marine Chemical Inc., Qingdao, People's Republic of China) and RP-18 gel (LiChroprep, 40–63  $\mu\text{m}$ ; Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). Sephadex LH-20 for chromatography was purchased from Amersham Biosciences. Fractions were monitored by TLC, and spots were visualized by heating silica gel plates sprayed with 10%  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  in EtOH.

**Plant Material.** The plants of *V. officinalis* var. *latifolia* were collected in Badong County, Hubei Province, People's Republic of China, in October 2008. The plant was identified by Professor You-Wei Wang, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Wuhan University, People's Republic of China. A voucher specimen (KIB-XC0810) was preserved at the State Key Laboratory of Phytochemistry and Plant Resources in West China, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, People's Republic of China.

**Extraction and Isolation.** The dried root powder of *V. officinalis* var. *latifolia* (14 kg) was extracted with 95% EtOH at room temperature to give a residue (3 kg) after removal of solvent under reduced pressure. The EtOH extract was suspended in  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and then partitioned successively with  $\text{CHCl}_3$  (3  $\times$  4 L). The  $\text{CHCl}_3$  extract (800 g) was subjected to silica gel column chromatography, eluted with petroleum ether–acetone (from 100:1 to 1:1), to afford fractions A–D. Fraction B (200 g) was subjected to column chromatography over silica gel (200–300 mesh), eluted with petroleum ether–EtOAc (from 100:1 to 1:1), to give nine fractions, B1–B9. Fraction B1 (80 g) was chromatographed over silica gel, eluted with petroleum ether–acetone (from 100:1 to 1:1), to afford five fractions, B1a–B1e. Compound **7** (3 g) was isolated from fraction B1a by repeated column chromatography over silica gel, eluted with ether–EtOAc (50:1 to 10:1). Fraction B1b was subjected to column chromatography over silica gel eluted with petroleum ether–EtOAc (50:1 to 5:1), to obtain isobicyclgermacrenol (3 g). Compounds **4** (13 mg), **6** (10 mg), and kissoone C (4 g) were obtained from fraction B8 by column chromatography over silica gel, by elution with petroleum ether–acetone (from 30:1 to 1:1), and purified over a Sephadex LH-20 column, eluted with  $\text{CHCl}_3$ –MeOH (1:1). Fraction B9 (20 g) was subjected to column chromatography over silica gel, eluted with petroleum ether–EtOAc (50:1 to 1:1), to afford four fractions, B9a–B9d. Fraction B9a (3 g) was subjected to column chromatography over silica gel, eluted with petroleum ether–acetone (from 20:1 to 1:1), and was chromatographed further over an RP-18 column, eluted with a MeOH– $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  gradient system (40%–80%), to afford compounds **8** (15 mg), **2** (18 mg), and kissoone B (10 mg). Madolin A (**1**) (30 mg) was isolated from fraction B9b by repeated column chromatography over silica gel, eluted with ether–EtOAc (30:1 to 5:1). Fraction B9c (2 g) was subjected to column chromatography over silica gel, eluted with petroleum ether–EtOAc (from 20:1 to 1:1), and purified by Sephadex LH-20 column chromatography, eluted with  $\text{CHCl}_3$ –MeOH (1:1), to afford compound **3** (18 mg). Fraction B9d (5 g) was subjected to column chromatography over silica gel eluted with petroleum ether–EtOAc (from 10:1 to 1:1) and purified over a Sephadex LH-20 column, eluted with  $\text{CHCl}_3$ –MeOH (1:1), to afford compounds **5** (18 mg) and **9** (10 mg).

**Volvalerenal A (2):** white, amorphous solid;  $[\alpha]_D^{25} +180.9$  ( $c$  0.17, MeOH); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\epsilon$ ) 264 (4.2) nm; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  2942, 2866, 2816, 2709, 1738, 1686, 1627, 1453, 1375, 1239, 1182, 1033  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 400 MHz) and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 100 MHz) data, see Tables 1 and 2; EIMS  $m/z$  292  $[\text{M}]^+$ ; HREIMS  $m/z$  292.1666  $[\text{M}]^+$  (calcd for  $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{24}\text{O}_4$ , 292.1675).

**Volvalerenal B (3):** white, amorphous solid;  $[\alpha]_D^{25} +254.4$  ( $c$  0.28, MeOH); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\epsilon$ ) 264 (4.2) nm; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  2944, 2866, 2728, 1718, 1667, 1626, 1443, 1189, 1064  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 500 MHz) and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 125 MHz) data, see Tables

1 and 2; positive mode ESIMS  $m/z$  271  $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$ ; HRESIMS  $m/z$  271.1306  $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$  (calcd for  $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{20}\text{O}_3\text{Na}$ , 271.1310).

**Volvalerenal C (4):** white, amorphous solid;  $[\alpha]_D^{25} +190.5$  ( $c$  0.36,  $\text{CHCl}_3$ ); UV ( $\text{CHCl}_3$ )  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\epsilon$ ) 258 (4.1) nm; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  2955, 2855, 2713, 1732, 1679, 1630, 1460, 1450, 1374, 1243, 1135, 1019  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 400 MHz) and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 100 MHz) data, see Tables 1 and 2; positive mode ESIMS  $m/z$  315  $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$ ; HRESIMS  $m/z$  315.1577  $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$  (calcd for  $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{24}\text{O}_4\text{Na}$ , 315.1572).

**Volvalerenal D (5):** white, amorphous solid;  $[\alpha]_D^{25} +266.0$  ( $c$  0.24, MeOH); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\epsilon$ ) 247 (3.9) nm; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  3294, 2941, 2814, 2709, 1686, 1627, 1454, 1375, 1183, 1001  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 500 MHz) and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 100 MHz) data, see Tables 1 and 2; EIMS  $m/z$  234  $[\text{M}]^+$ ; HRESIMS  $m/z$  257.1513  $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$  (calcd for  $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{22}\text{O}_2\text{Na}$ , 257.1517).

**Volvalerenal E (6):** white, amorphous solid;  $[\alpha]_D^{25} +88.7$  ( $c$  0.55, MeOH); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\epsilon$ ) 263 (3.9) nm; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  2930, 2869, 1739, 1676, 1623, 1451, 1372, 1235, 1031  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 400 MHz) and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 100 MHz) data, see Tables 1 and 2; positive mode ESIMS  $m/z$  299  $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$ ; HRESIMS  $m/z$  299.1616  $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$  (calcd for  $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{24}\text{O}_3\text{Na}$ , 299.1623).

**Volvalerenic Acid A (7):** white, amorphous solid;  $[\alpha]_D^{25} +116.8$  ( $c$  0.26, MeOH); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\epsilon$ ) 262 (4.1), 202 (4.0) nm; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  2500–3500 (br), 1666, 1601, 1447, 1380, 1272, 1160, 1070  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 500 MHz) and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 125 MHz) data, see Tables 1 and 2; positive mode ESIMS  $m/z$  235  $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$ ; HRESIMS  $m/z$  257.1512  $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$  (calcd for  $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{22}\text{O}_2\text{Na}$ , 257.1517).

**Volvalerenic Acid B (8):** white, amorphous solid;  $[\alpha]_D^{25} +82.3$  ( $c$  0.11, MeOH); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\epsilon$ ) 254 (4.0), 202 (3.9) nm; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  2500–3500 (br), 1731, 1673, 1610, 1449, 1370, 1242, 1164, 1016  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 500 MHz) and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 100 MHz) data, see Tables 1 and 2; positive mode ESIMS  $m/z$  315  $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$ ; HRESIMS  $m/z$  315.1572  $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$  (calcd for  $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{24}\text{O}_4\text{Na}$ , 315.1572).

**Volvalerenic Acid C (9):** white, amorphous solid;  $[\alpha]_D^{25} -27.4$  ( $c$  0.30, MeOH); UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (log  $\epsilon$ ) 263 (4.0), 203 (3.9) nm; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  2500–3500 (br), 1684, 1631, 1441, 1384, 1264, 1190  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 500 MHz) and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 100 MHz) data, see Tables 1 and 2; EIMS  $m/z$  250  $[\text{M}]^+$ ; HRESIMS  $m/z$  273.1460  $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$  (calcd for  $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{22}\text{O}_3\text{Na}$ , 273.1466).

**Acetylcholinesterase Inhibitory Activity.** Acetylcholinesterase inhibitory activity of the compounds isolated was assayed by the spectrophotometric method developed by Ellman et al.<sup>20</sup> Acetylthiocholine iodide (Sigma) was used as substrate in the assay. Compounds were dissolved in DMSO. The reaction mixture contained 1100  $\mu\text{L}$  of phosphate buffer (pH 8.0), 10  $\mu\text{L}$  of test compound solution (100  $\mu\text{M}$ ), and 40  $\mu\text{L}$  of acetyl cholinesterase solution (0.04 U/100  $\mu\text{L}$ ), and the mixture was incubated for 20 min (30  $^\circ\text{C}$ ). The reaction was initiated by the addition of 20  $\mu\text{L}$  of DTNB (6.25 mM) and 20  $\mu\text{L}$  of acetylthiocholine. The hydrolysis of acetylthiocholine was monitored at 405 nm after 30 min. Tacrine was used as positive control. All the reactions were performed in triplicate. The percentage inhibition was calculated as follows: % inhibition =  $(E - S)/E \times 100$  ( $E$  is the activity of the enzyme without test compound and  $S$  the activity of enzyme with test compound).

**Acknowledgment.** This work was supported by funding from the State Key Laboratory of Phytochemistry and Plant Resources in West China, Kunming Institute of Botany (P2008-ZZ22), the Department of Science and Technology in Yunnan Province (20080A007), and the Natural Science Foundation of Yunnan (2008CD159). The authors are grateful to Dr. Z.-H. Jiang of the Department of Chemistry, Lakehead University, Canada, for the comments on a draft version of this article. The authors also thank the members of the analytical group of the State Key Laboratory of Phytochemistry and Plant Resources in West China, Kunming Institute of Botany, for the spectroscopic measurements.

**Supporting Information Available:** 1D and 2D NMR spectra of compounds **2–9** are supplied, and this material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

## References and Notes

- Houghton, P. J. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* **1988**, *22*, 121–142.
- Houghton, P. J. *J. Pharm. Pharmacol.* **1999**, *51*, 505–512.
- Yager, J.; Siegfried, S. L.; DiMattero, T. L. *Am. J. Psychiat.* **1999**, *156*, 1432–1438.

- (4) Leathwood, P. D.; Chauffard, F.; Heck, E.; Munoz-Box, R. *Pharmacol., Biochem. Behav.* **1982**, *17*, 65–71.
- (5) Hazelhoff, B.; Smith, D.; Malingre, T. M. *Arch. Int. Pharmacodyn.* **1982**, *257*, 274–287.
- (6) Morazzoni, P.; Bombardelli, E. *Fitoterapia* **1995**, *66*, 99–112.
- (7) Murakami, N.; Ye, Y.; Kawanishi, M.; Aoki, S.; Kudo, N.; Yoshida, M.; Nakayama, E.; Shioda, T.; Kobayashi, M. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2002**, *12*, 2807–2810.
- (8) Hattesoht, M.; Feistel, B.; Sievers, H.; Lehnfeld, R.; Hegger, M.; Winterhoff, H. *Phytomedicine* **2008**, *15*, 2–15.
- (9) Tang, Y. P.; Liu, X.; Yu, B. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2002**, *6*, 1949–1952.
- (10) Bos, R.; Hendriks, H.; Bruins, A. P.; Kloosterman, J.; Sipma, G. *Phytochemistry* **1985**, *25*, 133–135.
- (11) Ming, D. S.; Yu, D. Q.; Yang, Y. Y.; He, C. M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 5205–5208.
- (12) Piccinelli, A. L.; Arana, S.; Caceres, A.; Bionca, R. E. V.; Sorrentino, R.; Rastrelli, R. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2004**, *67*, 1135–1140.
- (13) Torssell, K.; Wahlberg, K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1966**, *7*, 445–448.
- (14) Circosta, C.; Pasquale, R.; Samperi, S.; Pino, A.; Occhiuto, F. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* **2007**, *112*, 361–367.
- (15) Wang, P. C.; Hu, J. M.; Ran, X. H.; Cheng, Z. Q.; Jiang, H. Z.; Liu, Y. Q.; Zhou, J.; Zhao, Y. X. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2009**, *72*, 1682–1685.
- (16) Wu, T. S.; Chan, Y. Y.; Leu, Y. L. *J. Nat. Prod.* **1998**, *61*, 511–514.
- (17) Tucker, D. J.; Southwell, I. A.; Lowe, R. F.; Russell, M. F.; Brerton, I. M. *Magn. Reson. Chem.* **2007**, *45*, 1081–1083.
- (18) Guo, Y. Q.; Xu, J.; Li, Y. S.; Yamakuni, T.; Ohizumi, Y. *Planta Med.* **2006**, *72*, 373–375 (ibid. **2008**, *74*, 1767).
- (19) Wu, T. S.; Leu, Y. L.; Chan, Y. Y. *Biol. Pharm. Bull.* **2000**, *23*, 1216–1219.
- (20) Ellman, G. L.; Courtney, K. D.; Andres, V. J.; Featherstone, R. M. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **1961**, *7*, 88–95.
- (21) Greene, L. A.; Tischler, A. S. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **1976**, *73*, 2424–2428.

NP100452A